

- From the theoretical point of view the recommended TP meets the requests of the WG
- In a second step we have examined how the TP works when applied to real atmospheric dispersion situations.

Select data from tracer experiments

- carried out at the Research Centre Karlsruhe,
- the Prairie-Grass Experiments
- as well as wind tunnel data

They cover a wide range of different

- thermal stabilities
- roughness lengths
- emission heights

Dispersion calculations were carried out with a particle model

- Calculated concentrations tend to be slightly overestimated with respect to the measured data.
- However, this seems to be acceptable for the application within the frame of regulatory purposes.
- Prairie-Grass-Experiments, level terrain, small  $z_0=0.6\text{m}$  small emission height  $H\approx 0.5\text{m}$ , different stabilities expressed as  $1/L$ , source distances 50m, 200m, 800m
- Compare plume widths
  - from concentration measurements
  - with calculated values ◆
- However, differences between measurements and calculation become larger
  - with increasing source distance
  - and for unstable stratification
- Reason: For strong convective situations the TP doesn't take into account the skewness of the

**turbulent velocity distribution . This leads to an overestimation of the calculated concentrations in the case of the Prairie-Grass-Experiments**